UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/002,566	11/01/2001	Chana L. Weaver	5603USA	3780
30173 7590 06/17/2008 GENERAL MILLS, INC. P.O. BOX 1113			EXAMINER	
			ROBINSON BOYCE, AKIBA K	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55440			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3628	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/17/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/002,566	WEAVER ET AL.	
Examiner	A =4 11== !4	
Examiner	Art Unit	

The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED <u>27 May 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:	
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.	
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO)
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of	
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS	ì
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because	
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or	
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).	
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):	
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:	
Claim(s) rejected: <u>5-8,10-13 and 15-20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE	
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will not be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).	
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>	
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:	
/Akiba K Robinson-Boyce/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3628	

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: applicant argues that Johnson alone, nor Johnson in view of Dippold dislcose the claims of the invention. However, Johnson's invention relates to "a method and centralized system established over the Internet for automatically generating information directed to products of one or more suppliers in response to user request criteria, and collecting information of market research and user trend data directed to demographic information of the users of the system and matching the user request criteria. The market research and user trend data can be forwarded to suppliers registered with the system and the users request criteria. The system also provides for generating rebate certificates directed to products requested by the user, as marketing incentives" (shown in [0007]). Here, not only is the market research and trend data forwarded to suppliers registered with the system, but is also forwarded to the users request criteria. In this case, since the user trend data directed to demographic information is forwarded to the users request criteria, this demographic information therefore provides the data needed for generating rebate certificates. Therefore, Johnson's disclosure anticipates the presently claimed method for providing an integrated category management report that is a targeted opportunity assessment and market analysis at least partially customized for the intended retailer end user. As per claims 11 and 20, these claims are still rejected for the same reasons. In addition, the scoring rules of Dippold provides a formatted presentation a shown in [0032]. Here, the scoring rules follow a specific format. According to Dippold "if flavor is chocolate, then category is CAT A. Similarly, an "is not if-then" scoring rule, for example, has the following format: if flavor is chocolate, then category is not CAT B." In addition, [0033] shows that scoring is used to score the categorized product data provided by the product supplier, and is based upon the reference database, which suggests a formatted presentation, where the supplier is shown to be a retailer in [0003].